

APPLICATION NO.

09/829,600

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		CONFIRMATION NO
42390P991	3	7945

7590

11/03/2003

Charles A. Mirho BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP 7th Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025

FILING DATE

04/09/2001

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J

2171

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Steven E. Barile

2.			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/829,600	BARILE ET AL.	
nance, neach	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the c ver sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica (1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final of the fina	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: Further search and consideration are r	equired due to the amendment of the	<u>Claims</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	• • •		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		7 -	
		~~~	

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100